IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

MILTON WAYNE NETTLES	§	
TDCJ-CID #1349177	§	
v.	§	C.A. NO. C-08-384
	§	
LUIS ARANDA	§	

ORDER DENYING AN EXTENSION TO FILE A RESPONSE TO THE ANSWER

Plaintiff is a state inmate who filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Pending is plaintiff's motion for an extension of time. (D.E. 20). Specifically, he seeks an extension of sixty days to file a reply to defendant's answer. <u>Id.</u> at 1, 4.

Rule 7 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure addresses the filing of pleadings, including the filing of answers. In relevant part, it states:

There shall be a complaint and an answer; a reply to a counterclaim denominated as such; an answer to a cross-claim, if the answer contains a cross-claim; a third-party complaint, if a person who was not an original party is summoned under the provisions of Rule 14; and a third-party answer, if a third-party complaint is served. No other pleading shall be allowed, except that the court may order a reply to an answer or a third-party answer.

Fed. R. Civ. P. 7(a) (emphasis added). Rule 7 was created in order to make federal litigation more efficient. 5 Charles Alan Wright & Arthur R. Miller Federal Practice and Procedure § 1181 (3d ed. 2004).

Pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, a reply to an answer is unnecessary.

Garner v. Morales, 237 F.R.D. 399, 400 (S.D. Tex. 2006) (citation omitted). "More important,

Rule 7(a) establishes that plaintiffs may not file a reply to an answer except in specific

circumstances" generally a court order for such a reply. <u>Id.</u> (citations omitted).

It appears that plaintiff intends to file a response to defendant's answer. Rule 7(a) provides judges with discretion to allow the filing of a reply to an answer. Here, however, plaintiff has failed to provide any reason a reply is necessary. "Indeed, such replies typically do not enhance the efficiency of the litigation." <u>Id.</u> (citation omitted). To the extent that plaintiff is seeking leave to file a response to defendant's answer, such a request is denied. Accordingly, plaintiff's motion for an extension of time, (D.E. 20), is hereby DENIED.

ORDERED this 12th day of March 2009.

BRIAN L. OWSLEY

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE